Ex. 25

	CONTROL OF MEN VODE - DOCKET #
THE PEOPLE OF THE	STATE OF NEW YORK : DOCKET # : 91N013081 : ARRAIGNMENT
T CT TAYOU	
AGAINST	*
DOMINIC FRANZA,	
D	efendant. :
	100 Centre Street
	New York, New York 10013
	February 12, 1991
BEFORE:	
	HONORABLE JUDY DAVIS KLUGER,
	Justice of the Supreme Court
APPEARANC	E S:
For the People:	ROBERT MORGENTHAU ESQ.,
	New York County District Attorne One Hogan Place
	New York, New York 10013
	BY: ALBERT LORENZO, ESQ.,
	Assistant District Attorney
For the Defense:	HELLER & HELLER, ESQ.
	105 East 73rd Street
	New York, New York
	Kimberly Brantley, CSR Senior Court Reporter
	Senior Court Reporter

1	COURT OFFICER: Docket ending 801, Dominic
2	Franza. Step up. Defendant is charged with attempted
3	murder in the second degree, two counts; presworn
4	complaint Officer Ortiz.
5	Counselor, your appearance for the record,
6	please.
7	MR. COONY: William Coony, Coony, of Heller and
8	Heller, 105 East 73rd Street, New York, New York.
9	COURT OFFICER: Waive the reading but not the
10	rights thereunder?
11	MR. COONY: I do.
12	COURT OFFICER: Notices.
13	MR. LORENZO: People are serving felony Grand
14	Jury notice. People have no other notices, your Honor.
15	Your Honor, People will be asking for remand on this
16	defendant. This is a case in which the defendant, Your
17	Honor, attempted to kill his estranged wife and
18	mother-in-law by having another person shoo them shoot
19	them. Both the wife and her mother and his
20	mother-in-law were shot in the cheek with a .38 caliber
21	gun. The facts are as follows, your HonorApparently
22	the defendant and his wife broke split up in June of
23	1990, at which time the defendant told his wife that if
24	she left him he would have her and her family killed. On
25	July 17th of 1990, a delivery man came to the door of the

1	home of his wife and her mother. The delivery man claimed
2	he had flowers for the defendant's wife. The
3	mother-in-law opened the door and the delivery man started
4	firing at both her and the defendant's wife, who his wife
5	at the time was exiting a bathroom. The mother-in-law was
6	hit in the cheek and twice in the body. The wife was his
7	in the cheek. As the wife was being carried to an
8	ambulance, she wrote that he was responsible for the
9	shooting. The delivery man dropped the box, which was a
10	dozen roses and a not indicating where to deliver those
11	flowers.
12	Your Honor, we also have information that the
13	defendant may have disputed with his wife to a point where
14	he is sending pipe bombs through the mail. For those
15	factors, we would ask for remand.
16	THE COURT: What's that last thing you said?
17	MR. LORENZO: Sending pipe bombs through the
18	mail.
19	THE COURT: You are saying she was receiving
20	pipe bombs and they are connected with this defendant?
21	MR. LORENZO: That's what my information is.
22	THE COURT: Was a comparison a handwriting
23	comparison done here? That's what I have
24	MR. LORENZO: Yes, your Honor. There is a
25	handwriting analysis right now which is being done as we

speak.
THE COURT: What is the condition of both the
complainant and her mother, both complainants right now?
I know this occurred in July, but I want to know what
MR. LORENZO: I understand. I do not have
anything as to their present condition.
THE COURT: Well, is there any way you can find
that out?
MR. LORENZO: I would if you give me a brief
second call. I can get the assistant.
THE COURT: I will give you a second call.
* * * * * * *
COURT OFFICER: This is a recall on docket
ending 081, Dominic Franza, step up.
THE COURT: All right. Do we have more
information?
MR. LORENZO: Yes, judge, I was able to get more
information, but we still do not know after talking to the
assistant exactly what kind of shape the two complaining
witnesses are in. We do know that the mother-in-law was
shot in the face. However, they're both alive at this
point. That's all we know. I do have some more
information, however.
THE COURT: You don't know if they are still in
the hospital?

1	MR. LORENZO: No, apparently not. We do not
2	know if there is any permanent damage.
3	THE COURT: Well, it happened in July, so I
4	would imagine maybe defense counsel knows a little bit.
5	MR. COONY: Yes, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: What else did you want to say?
7	MR. COONY: I just wanted to add that, as you
8	spoke about the pipe bomb before, apparently a pipe bomb
9	was placed at her brother-in-law's door shortly after the
10	incident. That was disputed by the NYPD. After that, a
11	pipe bomb was sent last week. Letters were sent to family
12	members of the complaining witnesses stating that he would
13	be sending a gift to the wife's grandmother in Puerto
14	Rico.
15	THE COURT: He, being who?
16	MR. LORENZO: The defendant would send a letter,
17	okay. They received this letter saying that a gift would
18	be sent. It was signed Julio Ortiz, okay. Just very
19	recently, what happened was, Puerto Rican police
20	intercepted a pipe bomb in Puerto Rico sent to that
21	address, and they were able to defuse it.
22	THE COURT: Now, what connects
23	MR. LORENZO: I might it's very complicated.
24	That's why I'm trying to break it down. A search warrant
25	was just done on the defendant's home and documents were

1	found with the name of Julio Ortiz, and that handwriting
2	analysis is being done right now.
3	THE COURT: Right now he hasn't been charged
4	with it.
5	MR. LORENZO: Not right now at this point.
6	THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Coony.
7	MR. COONY: Your Honor, first I'd like to give
8	the DA notice that when they send the case to the Grand
9	Jury that we would like to request forty-eight hours prior
10	notification.
11	THE COURT: Is he interested in testifying? Are
12	you serving cross-Grand Jury notice?
13	MR. COONY: Defendant may elect at that that
14	whether he wishes to appear before the Grand Jury.
15	I would like to go through the issue of bail.
16	Your Honor, I'd like to make an application for t6he
17	defendant to be ROR'd. Your Honor, defendant is
18	thirty-eight years old. He is employed as an electrician
19	and he has been in that capacity for the past twelve
20	years. He also has been a life-long resident of New York
21	and has been at the current address for five years. Those
22	charges have been related to an incident which arose over
23	six months ago, and during that time, my client had
24	submitted to a police department lie detector test. The
25	results were favorable. He has also gone at his own

expense to two other lie detector services and also those results were favorable. As to the handwriting, my client has indicated that Detective Gerry Giorgio of the 24th Precinct, he looked at his handwriting concerning the note on the box, and his analysis of the handwriting was that it was not my client's handwriting. There seems to be some conflict as to the detectives here.

MR. LORENZO: Well, your Honor, there were two handwriting analyses done on the two different letters involved. So I don't know which your speaking of.

MR. COONY: The initial --

MR. LORENZO: The initial letter, right. There was also one -- the other one which we're doing now was --

MR. COONY: I'm not aware of that letter. I'm not talking about that letter. Also, your Honor, my client has also been a victim of two shootings in the recent past, in fact in this past January. Both shootings were reported to the police. They found shells at both sites, and your Honor, I believe this entire incident since July of '90, my client has actually cooperated with the police. He has gone down to the police department, answered their questions and calls in fact before he was arrested, it was a request for him to appear before the local precinct to again give them more information, and he fully cooperated. Your Honor, I believe that in light of

1	my client's full cooperation with the police he should be
2	released upon his own recognizance. And at present there
3	is no indication that he poses a threat to his wife. My
4	client indicates that his wife is now currently working,
5	and the mother-in-law is residing at her home.
6	THE COURT: That's it, counselor? Anything
7	else?
8	MR. COONY: Your Honor, just that if there is t
9	be bail, certainly there should not be bail in this case,
10	as he has not presented a threat, and the evidence seems
11	to be very slight and at best is shaky. Thank you, your
12	Honor.
13	THE COURT: Bail is set in the amount of two
14	hundred and fifty thousand dollars cash or bond. Februar
15	15th is the 180.80 day for Part F.
16	COURT OFFICER: Sir, you have the right to
17	communicate free of charge by letter or telephone via the
18	Department of Corrections. Step to your right.
19	MR. COONY: Your Honor, may I have February
20	22nd, that's 180.80. He will be indicted on the 15th or
21	released if there is no indictment, so that's the reason
22	I'm putting it on on that day. If the case goes to Grand
23	Jury and gets an indictment by that day, or else he will
24	be released on his own recognizance.
25	MR. LORENZO: Your are serving cross-Grand Jury

1	notice.
2	THE COURT: He wishes to testify?
3	MR. COONY: Well, we have not decided yet.
4	THE COURT: What's the name of the DA?
5	MR. LORENZO: Steven Cash.
6	THE COURT: So you be in contact with Mr. Cash,
7	as well.
8	MR. LORENZO: So I will just file an order to
9	produce.
10	* * * * * * * * * *
11	I, Kimberly M. Brantley, do hereby certify that
12	the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
13	Sumboh Brante C.S.R.
14	Kimberly M. Brantley, C.S.R. Senior Court Reporter
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	A I