Ex. 83

SUPREME COURT NEW YORK COUNTY TRIAL TERM PART 32 -X THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK INDICIMENT # -against-11987/91 CHARGE : DOMINIC FRANZA, Defendant. Att. Murd. 2 Sentence -X 6632501 111 Centre Street New York, New York 10013 April 8, 1992 BEFORE: HONORABLE PAUL BOOKSON, Justice of the Supreme Court . OCT () 6 2010 APPEARANCES: For the People: ROBERT MORGENIHAU, New York County District Attorney · One Hogan Place New York, New York 10013 Gregory Sheindlin and John Brancato, By: Assistants District Attorney For the Defense: Alan Brenner, Esq. 260 Madison Avenue New York, NY

1

.2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

722365

THE CLERK: This is Number 6 on the Part 32 calendar, Dominic Franza.

The defendant, his counsel and the assistant district attorneys are present, your Honor.

MR. BRENNER: For the defendant, Alan Brenner, 260 Madison Avenue.

MR. SHEINDLIN: Gregory Sheindlin, for the People. MR. BRANCATO: John Brancato, for the district attorney.

THE CLERK: Your Honor, the defendant appears for sentence. Shall I arraign him for sentence?

THE COURT: Yes.

Stand up.

1

2

3.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

.25

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE CLERK: Are you Dominic Franza, and is Mr. Brenner, who is standing alongside you your attorney? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE CLERK: Mr. Franza, you are being arraigned for sentence on your conviction after trial under Indictment 11987 of 1991 to three counts of the crime of attempted murder in the second degree and one count of the crime of criminal possession of a weapon in the first degree.

You are advised before sentence is pronounced that you, your counsel and the People have the right to make statements to this Court as to matters relevant to sentence.

1. Who's appearing for the People, Mr. Brancato? 2 MR. BRANCATO: Mr. Sheindlin. 3 THE CLERK: Mr. Sheindlin, do the People wish to 4. address the Court? 5 MR.SHEINDLIN: Yes. 6. Your Honor, this defendant stands before you 7 convicted of three counts of attempted murder and also possession of a weapon which specifically was, possession of 8. 9 a bomb with intent to use. 10 And I submit to the Court that the issue which is 11 before this Court, or the only issue before this Court, based upon the facts of this case, is whether or not this 12 13 defendant should receive the maximum punishment authorized 14 by law. 1.5 And if this Court considers the nature and the circumstances of this defendant's crime and the danger that 16 this defendant possesses to the community, the only 17 conclusion is that this defendant deserves the maximum 18 punishment authorized by law. 19 20 This defendant, in a deliberate and planned manner, planned the deaths of and planned to execute three people. 21 And in addition to that, he expended an enormous amount of 22 criminal energy to try to protect himself from being 23 24 detected. If it was not for the diligence and intelligence of 25

> Lynda Castellano Senior Court Reporter

З

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Detective Giorgio and the special officers and agents involved in this case this defendant would not be sitting here now and would have been free to continue to try to achieve his goal.

In deciding what sentence to give to this defendant and what the true gravity of his crimes are, this Court should consider, first of all, from the evidence the apparent reasons for his crimes.

Myra Franza lived with this defendant, was married to this defendant for approximately three years, and she was the subject of psychological abuse and physical abuse, and she left the defendant because she no longer wanted to be subject to these different abuses, and she tried to rectify the marriage, and she tried to get the defendant counselling. And when none of this worked, and she continued to be subjected by this defendant to physical and psychological abuse, she did the only thing she could do and she left him.

And for that, this defendant, in his own mind -- in his own mind, handed down a death sentence against Myra Franza and against members of her family.

In considering the gravity of this defendant's crimes, this Court should consider what Myra Franza and what her mother, Josephine Mendez, and what her Aunt Evelyn Lamboy were subjected to.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Starting with Josephine Mendez, what happened to her back in her apartment on July 17, 1991 was an event that brings the human imagination to its very, very limits.

The fact that this woman who was a mother who was facing a man that was holding a gun, an assassin, held by this defendant and was shot five times goes beyond all bounds, all bounds of that conduct which can't even be understood in a civilized society.

Josephine Mendez was shot five times, and it was more than her just being shot. She thought she was on the brink of death, and her last thoughts were not only that she was going to die but that she was afraid her daughter would be killed and that her daughter might be subjected to a rape. And no parent should ever have to be subjected to that type of conduct. No parent should ever have to live through what Josephine Mendez lived through. And as a testament to her and her character, she showed just exactly how powerful the bonds can be between a parent and child. Because in what she thought were her last moments of life, Josephine Mendez didn't even think of herself. Her only thought was holding closed the bathroom door so the assassin in the apartment can't get to her daughter.

And this assassin had to shoot her five times in order to get her out of the way.

With respect to Myra Franza, the gravity of what

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

she had to experience was just as great because she had to see her mother lie on the floor after she was shot five times, and she was also shot by this assassin that was sent by this defendant.

Just after looking at these facts alone, this Court must ask what type of sentence, what type of punishment does a person deserve who sends an assassin to someone's apartment to shoot people in this type of manner?

And the only thing this defendant deserves as someone who does that is the maximum punishment that is authorized by law.

This defendant, in his place of business, in an accurate and planned manner with this plan made up of nothing but pure evil because there is no other way to describe it, no other way to understand it, continued in his plan.

He caused a boobie-trap pipe bomb to be sent to what he thought was the victim's grandmother, and he in his own mind in carrying out the death sentences that he handed down, in his own mind wanted to execute the grandmother by having a letter bomb exploding immediately in front of her. And this is the death that he planned for this person.

Considering that alone, or even considering that the other two events, a person who engages in this type conduct deserves nothing but the maximum that this Court

> Lynda Castellano Senior Court Reporter

. 6

could hand down to this person, the maximum sentence.

THE CLERK: Mr. Brenner, do you wish to address the Court?

MR. SHEINDLIN: I'll be done in a moment. I have a few more.

THE CLERK: Sorry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20:

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SHEINDLIN: What also stands out in this case, just as great as every other act I described, which is also evidence the Court heard at length, is that this defendant knew no bounds to his conduct. There were no people to stand in his way. There was no risk he was not willing to take in order to carry out his plans.

This defendant caused an explosive device to be shipped over to Puerto Rico, and it was shipped over by plane, and those devices were shipped over sometimes in passenger planes.

So, what we saw here in this case was three actual victims who were by -- as Mr. Brancato stated -- either by divine province or natural luck, were able to take this witness stand and testify for a multitude of reasons, except for one which was the defendant's intent that this defendant was willing to risk the lives of an undefined proportion in order to have a bomb sent over to Puerto Rico. Not to mention the risk to the people that had to deal with the bomb and diffuse this device.

. 1	So, the Court must ask what type of danger does
2	this person pose to the community and what could be done to
3,	prevent this from happening in the future?
4 .	I submit to this Court, based upon this defendant's
5	actions, his attempt to execute three people, his risking of
6	possibly hundreds of lives that this defendant should be
7	sentenced to the maximum period of imprisonment that is
8	authorized, which is one hundred years.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Brenner.
10	THE CLERK: Mr. Brenner, do you wish to address the
11	Court?
12	MR. BRENNER: Your Honor, I am not in a position to
13	call upon the emotion that is evoked by some of the
14.	references Mr. Sheindlin made.
15	I cannot do anything but concede the violent nature
16	of the crimes that the People allege in the indictment, and
17	which according to the jury was proven beyond a reasonable
18	doubt.
19	I would point out the defendant's prior unblemished
20	record, his record with regard to employment, his record
21	with regard to his military experience, and his record
22	significantly that apart from the acts which Mr. Sheindlin
23	suggests posed such a grave threat to the community, there
24	is no other evidence that Mr. Franza was ever a threat to
25	anyone else. I would do all those things if I believed for a

Lynda Castellano Senior Court Reporter 8

Ê

1 moment that they would undercut the seriousness of the crimes against him which the People allege in the indictment 2 3 and the jury believed they proved beyond a reasonable doubt. 4. However, I must state that Mr. Franza's position at the outset at the time he was arrested and arraigned was 5 that he was not guilty of these crimes, that this continued 6 throughout the trial and that continues now. 7 8 It is not an unusual occurrence for a defendant -- for an individual who has been convicted of crimes or pled 9 guilty to stand before the Court and express remorse for 10 those crimes, either genuine or professed, in the effort to 11 have the Court consider that in mitigation of the maximum 12 13 sentence. On Mr. Franza's behalf, the only remorse that I can 14. express is that these terrible crimes ever took place; that 15 Myra Franza and Josephine Mendez and that the people who 16 were put at risk in Puerto Rico were indeed victims. 17. 18 Mr. Franza's position continues to be that they 19 were not his victims. The remorse he feels is that these 20 things ever happened. Mr. Franza maintains his innocence to the degree 21 that the Court can believe that be mitigation, I offer that. 22 Beyond that, there is nothing I can say on Mr. 23 24 Franza's behalf. I believe Mr. Franza himself wishes to address the 25

> Lynda Castellano Senior Court Reporter

1

2

3

4

5

6.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

Court.

I am constrained to note that I have advised Mr. Franza on what I believe the parameters of this particular statement are relative to sentence. There are things which are appropriate to say and appropriate not to say. And I have also advised him that there are things which he might say which are not in his best interest.

I believe he understands those advisements, and I believe he wishes to address the Court.

THE COURT: Mr. Franza.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

Your Honor, first of all, I would like to know what latitude do I have and what are my limitations, as well as what am I allowed to speak on beside pleading my innocence? May I speak on things beyond wrongdoings? Will I be given the great latitude due to my final chance to go on record before this Court? I want to exercise my constitutional rights.

Most of what I have to say is based on events of this case which at the conclusion will be followed by a question. While I speak, if there is anything you want to say, please let me know, and I await your answer.

THE COURT: Well, technically, I'm not here to respond to questions, but I'll say this.

You can say anything which would help the Court in

Lynda Castellano Senior Court Reporter

determining what sentence to impose, not on the question of 1 2 your guilt or nonguilt. 3 I have no intention of disturbing the verdict of the jury. The jury has spoken. 4 5 So, the only thing to address yourself to are those 6 factors which you believe will impact upon the Court in . 7 terms of sentence. 8 THE DEFENDANT: Well, basically, it revolves around . 9 innocence in general. THE COURT: I'm not arguing the merits of the case 10 now. I'm sure your attorney advised you that we are not 11 retrying the case now. 12 13 THE DEFENDANT: I understand that. 14THE COURT: We spent almost five weeks trying the 15 case. THE DEFENDANT: Well, I'll kind of basically put it 16 all together as close as I can. It's dealing with --17 It's dealing with the case. So, basically, my 18 understanding is, as far as things about the case in a 19 general nature I won't be allowed to speak on. 20. Am I 21 correct? 22 THE COURT: It serves no purpose. We are not 23 retrying the case. 24 THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, but would I be 25 allowed to speak on it? Lynda Castellano

Senior Court Reporter

1 THE COURT: I have no way of censoring in advance what you will say or whether it's appropriate. 2 3. THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Well, I guess I'll start and let you decide if I have exceeded the bounds or feel that I 4 5 shouldn't. 6 Okay, the first thing I would like to say is that 7. the stipulation that came about the injuries during the course of the trial or at the beginning of the trial, it was 8 done really without my consent. 9 10 During the trial --THE COURT: That's not an area to discuss today 11 with respect to any stipulations during the course of the 12 13 trial. 14 THE DEFENDANT: Well, okay. 15 I would like to touch on the warrant. I see these are things that are along those lines. I'll put it all in a 16 17 nutshell. 18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 THE DEFENDANT: Could I have a moment, your Honor? 20 (Pause). THE DEFENDANT: Well, three very brief things then, 21 22 your Honor. First, Brancato's contention is one thing that I 23 would like to say to this Court. As far as Mr. Brancato 24 saying that I used my girlfriend, Rosemarie Gonzales, here 25 Lynda Castellano

Senior Court Reporter

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as a guise, okay, to -- In other words, that I was with her trying to throw suspicion off me by mailing a bomb. I don't appreciate that statement because I would never, never implicate her or use her to such a degree.

Second of all, there have been a lot of lies in this trial, okay, and there's really no way for me to be proving these things.

But what I will mention to the Court, one thing that I will like to mention is that on the note that was left at the scene of the shootings, there was a mention there that there was a bell, to go to the apartment in the rear and ring the bell.

There is no bell there, your Honor.

Your Honor, if I wrote that note I would know that. Second of all, I did my own investigation. I did my own investigation which Detective Giorgio, Detective Ortiz and all the other people did not assist me.

I understand that the 34th Precinct is a very, very, very busy precinct. And as soon as one homicide happens you get another one.

The point that I'm trying to make is that I had information by going around the neighborhood and talking to people, which is why the writing happens to be there along with a picture that I had of Nelson Acosta, is that I ascertained certain information very crucial to this case

> Lynda Castellano Senior Court Reporter

1

2

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22·

23

24

25

that might well could have been -- and I want Detective. Giorgio and everyone to go on notice here that every conversation I had with everyone, every detective involved in this case is on this type case.

That's all I have to say, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well, Mr. Franza, please stand up. Mr. Franza.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Love is very precious, but life is more precious.

Now, what started out as something very beautiful for you, your family, your wife, there was a big celebration of a union, I believe, at the Astoria Manor. Many guests attended and all wished you and your wife well. And I'm sure at that moment the degree of love that existed between you and your wife no one could ever foretell that either that love, that admiration, that love for each other, that special relationship, would ever go asunder.

This Court, obviously, is not engaged as a marriage counselor, nor is it the function of this Court. But there came a time -- and I'm not going into who was at fault or who wasn't at fault, who contributed or who instigated this. The fact is that that special relationship deteriorated, and it deteriorated to the point that your wife left your home. But that did not satisfy you. You refused to give

> Lynda Castellano Senior Court Reporter

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

б.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

up. You refused to believe that that love was no longer in existence, and so you embarked on a very interesting criminal destructive scheme endangering the lives of many people, and in the pursuing of that scheme your wife was shot, but for the grace of God survived.

Your mother-in-law was shot multiple times, and to this day, not only has sustained an injury but carries a lifetime disfigurement and a diminution of the strength of the hand that received that shot and explosive device was transported through the Federal Express by air to Puerto Rico. You never calculated for a moment how many other people could have been, if not killed, seriously harmed. Once again, for the grace of God, nobody was hurt with respect to that bomb.

Anyone can understand a love gone asunder.

No one has to understand, nor does society tolerate someone who determines what the punishment for that would be. And to threaten life and limb, which is not only beyond acceptable conduct, it is conduct which must be dealt with firmly.

Accordingly, Mr. Franza, the sentence of this Court with respect to the first count, attempted murder in the second degree, you are sentenced to be incarcerated for a period not more than twenty-five years, nor less than eight and a third years.

> Lynda Castellano Senior Court Reporter

1 With respect to count two, likewise, you are sentenced to a maximum term of incarceration of twenty-five 2 3. years but not less than eight and a third years. 4 And with respect to count three, you are sentenced to be imprisoned for a maximum of 25 years but not less than 5 6 eight and a third years. 7 Each of those sentences are to run consecutive. 8 And in addition thereto on the fourth count, the criminal possession of a weapon in the first degree, it is. 9 the sentence of this Court that you be incarcerated for a 10 period not to exceed nine years, nor less than three years. 11 12 Again, that sentence is to run consecutive to the 13 three previously imposed sentences. And I just might indicate for the record, the Court 14 is mindful of Penal Law Section 70.30, Subdivision C, 15 Subdivision iii. The application of that section will be 16 17 further explained to you by your attorney. 18 That completes the sentence of the Court. 19 Advise the defendant of his right to appeal. 20 THE CLERK: The total is 28 to 84, as further affected though by the application of the section that the 21 22 Court made reference to. 23 Advise the defendant. 24 (Handing). THE CLERK: Written notice of a right to appeal this 25

> Lynda Castellano Senior Court Reporter

sentence has been handed to Mr. Franza.

1

2

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I might add by way of an addendum, that this tragedy, just like an attempt to send a bomb through public channels or to construct a bomb, the magnitude is so great, but in a sense it's like everything else in this case.

17

Not only was your wife's family so seriously affected by all these events, but the Court observed and sympathizes with the fact that your family as well, they too have been affected by this case and have paid a very severe price. It is a tragedy.

That concludes the sentence.

MR. BRENNER: Thank you, your Honor.

I, Lynda A. Gastellano, Senior Court Reporter, in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

Lynda Castellano