In Re.	IINIC M. FRAN	IZA				
			AFF	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE		
State of New York)			No. of the Control of			
SS.: County of Albany)						
Jeffrey Teitel and is not a part Application w	being duly swor arty in this proce vith Exhibits, suc	eeding. Deponer ch service having	nt served a true g been made in	nt is over the age of copy of Addendur the following man served in this process.	n to Full Pardon ner, stated herein,	
Hon. Kathy I	Hochul, Govern amber, State Ca _j	Counsel - Agen nor of New York pitol - Albany, N	K	n behalf of		
Military servi	ce of the state of	information and f the State of Nev or Federal Statute	w York or of the	person so served i e United States as	n not in the the term is	
Deponent furt	her states that he	e describes the p	erson actually s	erved as follows:		
Sex ☐ Male ☑ Female ☐ Other	Skin Color ⊠ White □ Black	Hair Color ☑ Light ☐ Medium ☐ Dark	Age ☐ 20-30 ☐ 31-40 ☑ 41-50 ☐ 51-60 ☐ 61-70	Height ☐ 5'-5'5'' ⋈ 5'6''-6' ☐ 6'1"-6'5" ☐ 6'6"+	Weight ⋈ 100-150 151-200 200-250 250+	
July, 2023 Glace L Hilary Teitel Notary Public	c, State of New Albany County		 Jeffre	Teitel		

Commission Expires September 11, 2025

DOMINIC M. FRANZA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION DIN # 92A3659 NYSID # 063325010

www.nypdprosecutorcorruption.com

Hon. Kathy Hochul Governor of New York Executive Chambers The Capitol Albany, N.Y. 12224

ADDENDUM TO FULL PARDON APPLICATION

Dear Gov. Hochul:

I hereby make this **Verified Addendum** in further support of my FULL PARDON APPLICATION served on your Honor, on March 2nd of 2023. This Addendum is supported by irrefutable <u>new information</u> from a N.Y. State Parole Board Commissioner which further proves, I had nothing to do with the shooting's of Mrs. Franza and Mrs. Mendez, based upon information provided by Mrs. Mendez.

- 1. Within my Full Pardon application I revealed the following trial testimonies (Attached hereto as Exhibit "1" [Full Pardon Application]):
 - A) That, Mr. Nelson Dacosta's wife left him in June of 1990, taking the Children to Chicago (Ex. "1" ¶'s 10-11).
 - B) That, on July 8th of 1990, Mr. Dacosta made a police report, complaining to police that he received a threatening phone call, threatening to kill his family and him (Ex. "1" ¶ 12).
 - C) That, eight days later on July 16th of 1990, never opening her door, Mrs. Mendez answered her door. It being two men announcing themselves as police officers, showing badges. The men asking for Mr. Dacosta. Mrs. Mendez stating, as her apartment is on the first floor, seeing the men outside, one of the men having a canvas bag (Ex. "1" ¶ 13).

- D) That, on July 17th of 1990, Mrs. Mendez saw a floral delivery man outside, holding a box of flowers with a red ribbon. The man wearing a large white jacket, sneakers, white pant's and having a white painter's cap (Ex. "1" ¶ 15).
- E) That, after seeing the floral delivery man, fifteen minutes earlier, Mrs. Mendez answered her door. Thereafter, Mrs. Mendez and Mrs. Franza being shot, by this floral delivery man all dressed in white as Mrs. Mendez stated (Ex. "1" ¶17).
- F) That, Mrs. Theis heard three to four loud bangs above, as Mrs. Mendez's apartment is above the apartment she was in (Ex. "1" \P 18).
- G) That, standing outside of 485 West 187th Street, Mr. Benitez heard three loud bangs, and fifteen seconds later seeing two men running out of the building side by side, at full speed. One of the men clutching a canvas bag (Ex. "1" ¶ 20).
- H) Based upon the above I asserted it was not a floral delivery man who shot Mrs. Mendez and Mrs. Franza, it being the two men from the day before claiming to be police officers with badges (Ex. "1" ¶ 35).
- 2. During my April 19th of 2023 Parole Interview, I summarized the above facts, greatly focusing on Mrs. Mendez's direct encounter with these claimed police officers with badges as only she had this encounter, further focusing on her shooting account. Further asserting it was not a floral delivery man that did the shooting, it being the two men who had badges looking for Mr. Dacosta from the day before (Ex. "1" ¶'s 24-28). The proof being, the day before the shooting one of the men having a knapsack and the witness in the street, the day after, seeing a man having a knapsack. That these same individuals were the ones asking for Mr. Dacosta (Ex. "2" [4/19/23 Parole Interview Transcript]: pp. 6-8).
- 3. Commissioner Segarra, asked me where I received this information from, informing I received my information from the

discovery materials provided by the prosecutor. Commissioner Segarra asked me, the story I got from reading the documents was it said at trial, answering (Ex. "2" pp. 8-9):

- A. No, absolutely not. What they testified to at trial was the floral deliveryman, all dressed in white, is the one the perpetrated the shooting, when, in fact, it was not.
- Q. It was not, because the documents that she provided also said it was someone she had seen before, that she thought were police officers, because they had badges.
- 4. It is beyond question, based upon documents that a she provided, independent of any documents I provided in support of my Full Pardon Application, Commissioner Segarra acknowledged the shooting was not perpetrated by a floral delivery man all dressed in white, because it was "also said it was someone she had seen before, that she thought were police officers, because they had badges." The she is Mrs. Mendez as she was the only one who could of made this statement / identification, as she was the only one who saw them the day before the shooting, committing perjury at trial as she said she never saw them again (Ex. "1", See Exhibit "11" [Trial Transcript] within the CD in support of my Full Pardon application / See Web Site mentioned on page one look at Conceded Documents Link Exhibit "11" [Trial Transcript]: [Mendez]: 259-264). It is clear, the Board of Parole was in possession of documents which revealed this information, the content of which was revealed to me. again, I claim I never had anything to do with the shooting's, as proven. Note, however, contrary to this revealing I was

denied parole.

5. Of mention, as mentioned in my Full Pardon Application, there was no evidence presented connecting me to these two individuals who were involved in the shooting's (Ex. "1" \P 38). I had nothing to do with the shooting.

WHEREFORE, inclusive of this additional finding I ask your Honor to immediately GRANT my FULL PARDON APPLICATION, as your Honor is the only one who can remedy the wrong that has been committed.

Most Respectfully

Jomini W. Frey

VERIFICATION

State of New York)
)ss.:
County of Dutchess)

Dominic M. Franza, being duly sworn, deposes and says: I am the maker of this Addendum in support for a Full Pardon above named; I have read the foregoing application to the Hon. Kathy Hochul and I know its contents; the same is true to my own knowledge, except as to those matters I believe it to be true.

Dominic M. Franza

92A3659

Subscribed to and sworn to before me

this 5^{+} day of June, 2023

NOTARY PUBLIC

Solution in Orange County
OIST6398368

OSTON EXPRES

4